

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

			:•	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRS': NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/942,032	08/28/2001	Thomas T. Yamashita	YAMA001CON9	7285
24353 75	90 04/28/2003			
BOZICEVIC, FIELD & FRANCIS LLP			EXAMINER	
200 MIDDLEF SUITE 200			GELLNER, JEFFREY L	
MENLO PARK, CA 94025			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3643	
			DATE MAILED: 04/28/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)	_			
Offic Action Summary	09/942,032	YAMASHITA, THOMAS T.				
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication app	Jeffrey L. Gellner	3643	-			
Period for Reply	rears if the cover sheet with the	00// 00po// 00// 000				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron the cause the application to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 A	<u> April 2003</u> .					
20,0	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	•					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>29-51</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>29-51</u> are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers	ar					
<ul><li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examine</li><li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce</li></ul>		aminer				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the price</li> <li>application from the International But</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application has been re	eceived.				
Attachment(s)	p 20 0.0.0. 00					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R view (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Il Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/942,032

Art Unit: 3643

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 29-36, 38-47, 50, and 51, drawn to methods of using a composition, classified in class 47, subclasses 58.1 SC, 58.1SE, and 58.1R.
- II. Claims 37, 48, and 49, drawn to a coated seed, classified in class 47, subclass57.6.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process could be achieved with a powder mixture of an insecticide, fungicide, and nematocide with the insecticide controlling egg laying by insects and the powder acting as insulation that controls frost damage. Alternatively, the product could be used to feed fish.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/942,032

Art Unit: 3643

If Applicant elects Invention I as described above, Applicant is further required to elect among the following species.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I:

A method of disrupting egg laying

Species II:

A method of controlling frost damage

Species III:

A method of treating seeds

Species IV:

A method of treating roots

Species V:

A method of treating soil

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the invention/species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR1.141. If claims are added after

Application/Control Number: 09/942,032

Art Unit: 3643

A ....

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

## Conclusion

Examiner regrets any inconvenience to Applicant.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Jeffrey L. Gellner whose phone number is 703.305.0053. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 8:30 am to 4:00 pm. The Examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, Peter Poon, can be reached at 703.308.2574. The fax phone numbers for the Technology Center where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703.305.7687, 703.305.3597, and 703.306.4195.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703.308.1113.

Jeffrey L. Gellner

Ally LAM